## KANSAI GAIDAI UNIVERSITY

Uncovering Factors Influencing the Cross-border Mergers and Acquisitions Negotiation Activities : A Review of Current Research

メタデータ	言語: English
	出版者: 関西外国語大学・関西外国語大学短期大学部
	公開日: 2023-10-25
	キーワード (Ja):
	キーワード (En): Cross-border M&A, Corporate
	Strategy, Negotiation Process, Culture Differences,
	Literature Review
	作成者: 崔, 麗超
	メールアドレス:
	所属: 関西外国語大学
URL	https://doi.org/10.18956/0002000119

### CUI LICHAO

#### Abstract

The most significant deficiency in the international business negotiation literature is crossborder mergers and acquisitions (M&A) negotiation process research. This study aims to clarify the factors influencing the final consensus of contracts in cross-border M&A. Then, by exchanging the intention of M&As through communication between parties, all conflicts from the negotiation stage until the last M&A agreement were solved during the process. This study uses cultural and behavioral perspectives to explore the factors influencing the final consensus of a contract in crossborder M&A negotiations. It also provides a framework for understanding the important factors at each stage of the negotiation process. This study has practical implications for negotiators and managers.

**Keywords:** Cross-border M&A, Corporate Strategy, Negotiation Process, Culture Differences, Literature Review

#### 1. Introduction

Recently, cross-border mergers and acquisitions (M&A) have attracted increasing scholarly attention. Numerous studies have investigated these issues. For instance, some researchers focused on the perspective of finance (Isil et al., 2012; Borodin et al., 2020); they tried to find the connection between stock price fluctuations and the M&A strategy. Increasing attention has been paid to issues in the post-M&A stage, such as integration problems (Vaara, 2003; Aklamanu et al., 2016; Kroon et al., 2022), knowledge transfer, and organizational learning (Vermeulen and Barkema, 2001; Bhagat et al., 2002). There are also several important research issues regarding cross-border M&As as entry modes into foreign markets (Brito and Magueta, 2014; Chaudhuri, 2014; Ignat, 2015).

Although many M&A issues have been discussed empirically and inductively, the theoretical foundations of the determinants of cross-border M&A remain weak (Shimizu et

al., 2004). Moreover, in pace with an increasing number of cross-border M&As, details of the behavioral pattern of the negotiation process and how cultural factors affect the results have not yet been analyzed.

International business negotiation issues have also been researched over the past decades (Adler and Graham, 1989; Money, 1998; Brett and Okumura, 1998; Paik and Tung, 1999; Kwok et al., 2005; Srivastava et al.,2020). Adler and Graham (1989) mentioned that joint ventures, M&A, licensing and distribution agreements, and sales of products and services are face-to-face negotiations. To successfully manage these negotiations, businesses need to know how to communicate with each other and learn more about behavioral patterns or cultural details. Mony (1998) stated that international business negotiations are typically researched on a bilateral basis. For example, Graham (1985) used the data of some pairs of negotiators in business contracts. Brett and Okumura (1998) tested the negotiations between the Japanese and the U.S. using members from both cultures. M&A few studies adopt a perspective from both sides (Graebner, 2009) or on the acquired firm side (Graebner and Eisenhardt, 2004), but most are usually focused on the buyer/acquiring side (Amburgey and Miner, 1992; Beckman and Haunschild, 2002). However, little research on international business negotiation studies is analyzed from a bilateral perspective.

Based on the above limitations, this study seeks to identify the factors affecting Crossborder M&A negotiations. It also focuses on how cultural factors and strategic interactions affect negotiation outcomes. Negotiation is a special communication task that involves reaching an agreement on handling common and conflicting interests between two or more parties (Paik and Tung, 1999). And cross-border M&A negotiations are more complicated than other types (Roger et al., 2002; Yagi et al., 2011). This study clarifies the main factors affecting cross-border M&A success and fills the theoretical gap in their negotiation studies. Even though most Asian countries share many cultural values and customs influenced by Confucianism and Buddhism, there are also significant differences among them attributable to their unique history and cultural background (Paik and Tung, 1999). This will help managers understand how to negotiate with these countries in real business situations.

The remainder of this paper is divided into four sections. Section 2 reviews recent research on cross-border M&A studies. Next, the theoretical perspective is briefly discussed. Then, essential topics are pinpointed and discussed. The third section discusses and reveals a framework. Finally, conclusions are drawn, and future research topics are discussed.

#### 2. Literature Review on M&A Negotiations

The following is a comprehensive schedule of the most relevant and recent studies focused on M&A negotiations and the issues cited per their studies on the apparent causes and most influential factors to achieve the expected outcomes in cross-border M&As. Table 1 presents further details.

In recent research on M&A negotiations, Parola and Ellis (2014) provided valuable insights into the negotiation process. Their research highlighted the importance of recognizing cooperative and competitive behaviors at each negotiation stage.

There is a growing focus on culture as a crucial factor in determining M&A performance. This viewpoint is supported by substantial literature explaining how different corporate cultures impact profitability, growth, and other performance indicators in the long run. Cultural differences are still the mainstream; for instance, Iulian and Liviu (2015) identified three main categories of factors that directly impact the negotiation process: structural-organizational, strategic, and cultural. The most significant challenge in the premerger stage is how cultural differences affect negotiations between individuals, groups, and companies. Ahammad et al. (2016) examined the impact of national cultural distance, organizational cultural differences, communication, and planned employee retention on the effectiveness of the negotiation process in cross-border M&As. Denison and Ko (2016) developed a framework for cultural due diligence that illustrates a process for screening M&A targets, gaining insight into the target firm's culture, and identifying integration challenges.

Meanwhile, several researchers have been focusing on uncertainty factors of the political environment and how institutional and political environments in the host country affect the likelihood of the completion of cross-border acquisition negotiations (Reddy et al., 2016). Lee (2018) used a natural experiment to estimate the causal effect of political uncertainty on bargaining outcomes in cross-border acquisitions. Furthermore, the perspective of the leadership or top management negotiators' capabilities also provides significant insights (Gebhardt, 2017; Li et al., 2021). Recent studies have also focused on the social capital and strategic elements that affect cross-border M&A negotiations (Gu and Meng, 2022; Rana et al., 2022).

Table 1 presents the comprehensive representation of factors influencing M&A negotiation activities in literature.

Author/ Year	Methodology	Issues cited for Negotiation	Topics
Parola and Ellis (2014)	Conceptual	Concession Making, Deal Conditions, Competitive Behavior, Cooperative Behavior	This study develops a framework to highlight major components of the M&A negotiation stage examined in existing studies. It offers key insights into how this underdeveloped area of study is ripe with opportunities for future theoretical development and empirical research.
Iulian and Liviu (2015)	Conceptual	Cultural Dimension, Cultural Distance	This study underscores the importance of the intercultural approach in M&A negotiations and its impact on the success/failure of cross-border M&A.
Denison and Ko (2016)	Conceptual	Cultural Due Diligence	This study develops a framework for cultural due diligence to address the limitations of existing approaches. The framework illustrates a process to screen the M&A targets, gain insight into the target firm's culture, and identify integration challenges.
Ahammad et al. (2016)	Empirical	Organizational Cultural Differences, National Cultural Distance	This study empirically examines the impact of national cultural distance, organizational cultural differences, communication, and planned employee retention on the effectiveness of the negotiation process in cross-border M&As.
Reddy et al. (2016)	Case study	Political Environments,	The study intends to explore how institutional and political environments in the host country affect the completion likelihood of cross-border acquisition negotiations.
Gebhardt, J.A. (2017)	Empirical	Leadership, Behavioral Due Diligence, Key Person, Manager	This study focuses on M&A-related behavioral due diligence and leader/manager tasks, activities, and issues. These dialogues set the foundation for M&A actions and research focused on leaders and managers relative to behavioral due diligence in the pre-merger phase.
Lee (2018)	Empirical	Political Uncertainty, host country	This article exploits a natural experiment to estimate the causal effect of political uncertainty on bargaining outcomes in cross-border acquisitions.
Li et al. (2021)	Case study	Post-deal, Top-level Management Characteristics	This paper conceptualizes key organizational attributes influencing the propensity of cross- border negotiations and the most common characteristics and post-deal effects by illustrating several cases from the world.

# TABLE 1 Representation of the most relevant previous studies and the issues mentioned for M&A Negotiation

Author/ Year	Methodology	Issues cited for Negotiation	Topics
Gu and Meng (2022)	Muti-case study	Dynamic Process, Social Capital, Corporate Cross- Cultural Competence,	This study explores the influence mechanism of social capital and the cross-cultural competence of enterprises. This is of practical significance as it can enhance the performance of M&A integration in a cross-cultural context.
Rana et al. (2022)	Case study	Relationship Building, Failure Factors, Strategic Elements	This study conducts a turning point analysis of nine negotiations between automobile manufacturers. The findings indicate that negotiation outcomes are significantly influenced by substantive and strategic elements internal to the negotiation process.

Note.  $^{\ast}$  The concepts mentioned are not keywords used by the authors but those related to the topic of this study.

#### 3. Theoretical Background

Cross-border M&A negotiations are unique transactions that are more complicated than general negotiations. Nevertheless, there are some common behaviors in the time sequence and different viewpoints from others. Reviewing prior works that proposed the stage model in transaction negotiations, I find the difference and draw an M&A negotiation behavior pattern in this study.

#### 3.1 Behavioral Sequences and a Four-Stage Negotiation Model

This Four-Stage Model was suggested by Adair and Brett (2005), who researched evolution and negotiation processes. This is a transactional negotiation model in which cooperative and competitive behaviors wax and wane across four stages: relational positioning, identifying problems, generating solutions, and reaching an agreement (Adair and Brett, 2005).

The details of this Four-Stage model are as follows.

#### First Stage: Relational Positioning

According to Graham and Sano (1986), the first stage of negotiation focuses on building relationships, considered essential. Adair and Brett (2005) further emphasized that negotiators should prioritize efforts to establish strong negotiations using persuasive and influential communication techniques. This is particularly important because negotiators may need to fully comprehend the other parties' positions, needs, and interests. In the first stage, Adair and Brett (2005) recommended using reciprocal sequences of effective persuasion that

are more likely to occur than in the following three stages.

#### Second Stage: Identifying the problem

The first negotiation stage is primarily characterized by relational posturing. When negotiators inevitably sense they are not progressing, they should shift gears and focus on issues (Adair and Brett, 2005). In this stage, because negotiators turned to issues and reciprocal priority information about issues that were more and less important to them, the second stage was more cooperative than the first.

#### Third Stage: Generating solutions

Adair and Brett (2005) proposed that the third negotiation stage is distinct, energetic, and passionate, with parties shifting between integrating information and influencing outcomes. It became a competition because negotiators offered solutions and supported or rejected them using rational arguments.

#### Fourth Stage: Reaching agreement

During the final stage of negotiations, both parties aim to narrow down their options and focus on making a final decision (Graham and Sano, 1986). At this point, negotiators should have gathered sufficient information to make offers and reasonable confidence that an agreement could be reached (Adair and Brett, 2005).

The following section defines the research hypotheses of the previous review. Cultural differences and biases in understanding requirements, tension, and trust during negotiations can cause conflicts (Kogut and Singh, 1988; Jennifer et al., 1998; Bhagat et al., 2002; Luo and Shenkar, 2006). It is important to note that M&As are essential firm behaviors constantly changing (Itami and Numagami, 1992; Jemison and Sitkin, 1986; Langley, 1999). Therefore, considering the general negotiation behavior of each party and the business strategy and interaction between the parties involved is necessary to clarify the negotiation process.

#### 3.2 Strategy under Uncertainty

According to Courtney et al. (1997), many executives prefer investments that allow their companies to adapt to market changes. However, establishing this flexibility can come at a high cost due to the uncertainty caused by market conditions and the strategic behavior of rival firms.

Negotiating cross-border M&A deals is more complex than negotiating international transactions because of the uncertainty. M&As involve interactions between organizations, and during negotiations, the firms involved may have other strategies in the market that can affect the deal's outcome. Additionally, the interaction between acquiring and acquired firms regarding strategies can lead to negotiations in different directions. This study provides different perspectives on the intricacies of cross-border M&A negotiations, which are vital but need to be discussed further in academic circles.

#### 3.3 Cultural Differences

Individuals' cultural backgrounds can immensely impact their behavior and negotiation style. This is because their perceptions of decision-making situations are heavily influenced by their national or organizational culture, which can vary significantly (Adler, 1983; Graham, 1993; Tse et al., 1994; Jennifer et al., 1998).

It is important to recognize that social cognition varies across cultures. Several studies on international business negotiations have closely examined the behavior of negotiators from different cultural backgrounds (Adair and Brett, 2005; Lee et al., 2006; Graham, 1985; Adler and Graham, 1989; Tse et al., 1994; Brett and Okumura, 1998). Differences in language expression customs and nonverbal communication play significant roles in how negotiators from different countries understand each other.

Cross-border M&As are significantly more challenging because of the complex interplay between national and organizational cultures. In addition, compared to domestic M&A, crossborder negotiations demand a much deeper understanding of the information surrounding the negotiations, including the firm's strategy and the acquired company's reactions. Therefore, investing additional efforts and resources is imperative to ensure these negotiations are conducted effectively and successfully. (Dow et al., 2016).

#### 4. Discussion

Figure 1 shows how the acquiring and acquired firms interact during this process.

The strategic interaction leads the direction of M&A negotiation

(1) Stage 1: The information collected only depends on the product market competition. The acquiring firm provides the first proposal at that time, which makes it difficult to handle the acquired firm's reaction. Moreover, the acquiring firm changes strategy options

simultaneously during the M&A negotiation process.

Unlike general business negotiations such as transactions, M&A s are firms' secrets. Before the acquiring firm made proposals to the acquired firm, no others knew or were prepared to avoid this. Thus, there are some information asymmetries in the first stage; furthermore, in cross-border M&A, cultural differences also cause negative progress in the next stage.

(2) Stage 2: The acquired firm usually refuses the proposal of M&A and finds a new option. Unlike the international business negotiation Four-Stage model suggested by Adair and Brett (2005), the parties are all competitive, not cooperative, in the second stage.

The acquiring and acquired firms are still under strategy uncertainty at this stage. In particular, the acquired firm is yet to prepare for an M&A; most firms initially reject it. Therefore, in the second stage, they try to find new options to avoid M&As (Christensen and Overdorf, 2000).

(3) Stage 3: The acquiring firm exerts opponent party competition pressure in the market while continuing an informal private negotiation with the acquired firm, such as a relaxed chat.

Most acquiring firms are decision-makers in M&As (Amburgey and Miner, 1992; Beckman and Haunschild, 2002), and they do their best to maximize strategy synergy by conducting M&As and achieving organizational rapport post-M&A (Graebner and Eisenhardt, 2004), when they are in the negotiation process, gaining trust from the other party is most important (Graebner, 2009; Lee et al., 2006).

(4) Stage 4: The acquired firm considers its long-term needs and agrees. This stage has some places in common with the international business negotiation process. After negotiating via the past three stages, both the acquiring and acquired firms highlight their conditions to reach an agreement and better understand what their opposing party wants than in the beginning. At this stage, negotiators from both parties cooperate. Informal negotiation activities help build relationships with the acquired firm's staff, and multicultural negotiators can help make progress.



# FIGURE 1

#### 5. Conclusion and Implications

The discussions have pointed to the factors influencing the cross-border M&A negotiation stage as one of the critical stages in the entire M&A process that can contribute immensely to M&A success.

This study uses cultural and behavioral perspectives to explore the factors that influence the final consensus of a contract in cross-border M&A negotiations. It also reveals a framework for understanding the important factors at each stage of the negotiation process. Previous M&A studies have yet to thoroughly address the negotiation process from the acquired firm's perspective. Therefore, there are significant gaps in understanding why M&As may fail or why negotiations may be abandoned midway through the process. It is important to recognize that both parties have alternative options to consider for their respective firms' growth.

Cross-border M&A are complex and uncertain. This study makes theoretical and practical contributions to cross-border M&A negotiations. Small-to-medium enterprises conduct cross-border M&As, and such research will have practical implications for negotiators and managers. In addition, multi-case studies need to be conducted in the future to investigate the cultural behavior patterns of cross-border M&A negotiation processes in more detail.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research KAKENHI (Grant Number 21K13358).

#### REFERENCES

- Adair, Wendi L. and Brett, Jeanne M. 2005. The Negotiation Dance: Time, Culture, and Behavioral Sequences in Negotiation. Organization Science, 16(1):33-51.
- Adler,N.J. 1983. A Typology of management studies involving culture. Journal of International Business Studies, 14(2):29-47.
- Adler, Nancy J, Brahm, Richard and Graham, John L.1992.Strategy implementation: A comparison of face-to-face negotiations in the people republic of China and the United States. Strategic Management Journal, 13(6):449-466.
- Adler, Nancy J. and Graham, John L.1989.Cross-Cultual Interaction: The International Comparison Fallacy. Journal of International Business Studies, 20(3):515-537.
- Ahammad, M. F., Tarba, S. Y., Liu, Y., Glaister, K. W., & Cooper, C. L. (2016). Exploring the factors influencing the negotiation process in cross-border M&A. International Business Review, 25(2), 445-457.
- Aklamanu, A., Degbey, W.Y. and Tarba, S.Y., 2016. The role of HRM and social capital configuration for knowledge sharing in post-M&A integration: a framework for future empirical investigation. The International Journal of Human Resource Management, 27(22), pp.2790-2822.
- Amburgey, T. L., and A. S. Miner.1992.Strategic momentum: The effects of repetitive, positional, and contextual momentum on merger activity. Strategic Management Journal, 13(5):335-348.
- Beckman, C. M., and P. R. Haunschild. 2002. Network learning: The effects of partners' heterogeneity of experience on corporate acquisitions. Administrative Science Quarterly, 47(1): 92-124.
- Borodin, A., Sayabek, Z.S., Islyam, G. and Panaedova, G., 2020. Impact of mergers and acquisitions on companies' financial performance. Journal of International Studies, 13(2).
- Brannen, M. Y., Piekkari, R., and Tietze, S. 2014. The multifaceted role of language in international business: Unpacking the forms, functions and features of a critical challenge to MNC theory and performance. Journal of International Business Studies, 45(5):495-507.
- Brito,D. and Magueta,D.2014.Horizontal Mergers, Entry and International Trade. Review of International Economics, 22(5):923-943.
- Bhagat, Rabi S.,Kedia, Ben L.,Harveston, Paula D.,and Triandis, Harry C.2002.Cultural variations in the cross-border transfer of organizational knowledge: An integrative framework. Academy of

Management Review, 27(2):204-221.

- Brett, Jeanne M. and Tetsushi Okumura.1998.Inter- and intracultural negotiation: U.S. and Japanese negotiators. Academy of Management Journal, 41(5):495-510.
- Chaudhuri, A.R.2014.Cross-Border Mergers and Market Segmentation.The Journal of Industrial Economics, 62(2):229-257.
- Christensen CM and Overdorf M.2000.Meeting the Challenge of disruptive change.Harvard Business Review, 78(2):66-75.
- Courtney, H., Kirkland, J. and Viguerie, P.1997.Strategy under uncertainty. Harvard business review,75(6):66-79.
- Denison, D. R., & Ko, I. (2016). Cultural due diligence in mergers and acquisitions. In Advances in mergers and acquisitions. Emerald Group Publishing Limited,15:53-72.
- Dow, Douglas Cuypers, Ilya R P Ertug, and Gokhan.2016. The effects of within-country linguistic and religious diversity on foreign acquisitions. Journal of International Business Studies, 47(3):319-346.
- Gebhardt, J.A. (2017). Pre-M&A "Leadership"?: Might Behavioral Due Diligence Assessment be the Answer? In Advances in Mergers and Acquisitions (pp. 21-36). Emerald Publishing Limited.
- Graebner, M.E.2009.Caveat venditor: Trust asymmetries in acquisitions of entrepreneurial firms. Academy of Management Journal, 52(3):435-472.
- Graebner, M.E. and Eisenhardt, K.M. 2004. The seller's side of the story: Acquisition as courtship and governance as syndicate in entrepreneurial firms. Administrative Science Quarterly, 49(3):366-403.
- Graham, John L. 1985. The Influnce of Culture on the Process of Business Negotiations: An Exploratory Study. Journal of International Business Studies, 16(1):81-96.
- Graham, John L. 1993. The Japanese negotiation style: Characteristics of a distinct approach. Negotiation Journal, 9(2):123-140.
- Graham, John L. and Sano, Yoshihiro.1986. Across the Negotiating Table From the Japanese. International Marketing Review, 3(3):58-71.
- Gu, Z., & Meng, F. (2022). Cross-cultural competence and social capital dynamic process in cross-border M&A, a theoretical framework based on a multi-case study. Chinese Management Studies, 16(4), 830-856.
- Ignat,S.2015.Cross-border Mergers and Greenfield Foreign Direct Investment. Review of International Economics, 23(1):111-136.
- Isil, E.,Rose, C.L.and Michael S. Weisbach.2012.Determinants of Cross-Border Mergers and Acquisitions. The Journal of Finance, 67(3):1045-1082.
- Itami, H.and Numagami,T.1992. Dynamic Interaction Between Strategy And Technology. Strategic Management Journal, 13(S2):119-135.
- Jemison, D.B. and Sitkin, S.B. 1986.Corporate Acquisitions: A Process Perspective. The Academy of

Management Review, 11(1):145-163.

- Jennifer M. George, Gareth R. Jones and Jorge A. Gonzalez .1998. The Role of Affect in Cross-Cultural Negotiations. Journal of International Business Studies, 29(4):749-772.
- Kam-hon Lee, Guang Yang and John L. Graham.2006.Tension and Trust in International Business Negotiations: American Executives Negotiating with Chinese Executives. Journal of International Business Studies, 37(5):623-641.
- Kogut, B.and Singh, H. 1988. The Effect of National Culture On the Choice of Entry Mode. Journal of International Business Studies, 19(3):411-432.
- Kroon, D.P., Noorderhaven, N.G., Corley, K.G. and Vaara, E., 2022. Hard and soft integration: towards a dynamic model of post acquisition integration. Journal of management studies, 59(5), pp.1132-1161.
- Kwok Leung, Rabi S. Bhagat, Nancy R. Buchan, Miriam Erez and Chistina B. Gibson.2005.Culture and International Business: Recent Advances and Their Implications for Future. Journal of International Business Studies, 36(4):357-378.
- Langley, A. 1999.Strategies for Theorizing From Process Data.Academy of Management Review, 24(4):691-710.
- Lee, K. H. (2018). Cross border mergers and acquisitions amid political uncertainty: A bargaining perspective. Strategic Management Journal, 39(11), 2992-3005.
- Li, Y., Redding, K. S., & Xie, E. (2021). Organizational characteristics of cross-border mergers and acquisitions: A synthesis and classic case examples from around the world. Journal of Organizational Change Management, 34(1), 223-251.
- Luo, Y., and Shenkar, O. 2006. The Multinational Corporation as a multilingual community: Language and organization in a global context. Journal of International Business Studies, 37(3):321-339.
- Money, R. Bruce 1998.International Multilateral Negotiations and Social Networks.Journal of International Business Studies, 29(4):695-710.
- Parola, H., & Ellis, K. M. (2014). M&A negotiation stage: A review and future research directions. Advances in mergers and acquisitions, 12, 33-57.
- Paik, Yongsun and Rosalie L. Tung1999.Negotiating with East Asians: How to Attain "Win-Win" Outcomes. Management International Review, 39(2):103-122.
- Reddy, K. S., Xie, E., & Huang, Y. (2016). The causes and consequences of delayed/abandoned crossborder merger & acquisition transactions: a cross-case analysis in the dynamic industries. *Journal of* Organizational Change Management, 29(6), 917-962.
- Rana, YS & Druckman, D. & Canduela, J.,(2022)"A Turning Points Analysis of Cross-Border Merger and Acquisition Negotiations", Negotiation and Conflict Management Research, 15(3), 20220406-397.
- Roger J. Volkema and Mria Tereza Leme Fleury. 2002.Alternative Negotiating Conditions and the Choice of Negotiation Tactics: A Cross-Cultural Comparison. Journal of International Business

Studies, 36(4):381-398.

- Shimizu,K.,M.A.Hitt,D.Vaidyanath,V,Pisano2004.Theoretical foundations of cross-border mergers and acquisitions: A review of current research and recommendations for the future. Journal of International Management, 10(3):307-353.
- Srivastava, S., Singh, S. and Dhir, S., 2020. Culture and International business research: A review and research agenda. International Business Review, 29(4), p.101709.
- Tse, David K., June Francis and Jan Walls 1994.Cultural Differences in Conducting Intra- and Inter-Cultural Negotiations: A Sino-Canadian Comparison.Journal of International Business Studies, 25(3):537-555.
- Vaara, E., 2003. Post acquisition integration as sensemaking: Glimpses of ambiguity, confusion, hypocrisy, and politicization. Journal of management studies, 40(4), pp.859-894.
- Vermeulen, Freek and Barkema, Harry2001.Learning through acquisitions. Academy of Management Journal, 44(3):457-476.
- Warter, I. U. L. I. A. N., & Warter, L. I. V. I. U. (2015). Intercultural negotiation in mergers and acquisitions. The integration of the cultural dimension into the negotiation domain. Buletinul Institutului Politehnic din Iaşi, *LXI*, 73-86.
- Yagi, Noriko and Kleinberg, Jill 2011.Boundary work: An interpretive ethnographic perspective on negotiating and leveraging cross-cultural identity. Journal of International Business Studies, 42(5):629-653.

(さい・れいちょう 外国語学部助教)